

#### 저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국

#### 이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

• 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

#### 다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.



비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.



변경금지. 귀하는 이 저작물을 개작, 변형 또는 가공할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건 을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 이용허락규약(Legal Code)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

Disclaimer 🖃







2019년 8월 석사학위 논문

Semantic Prosody and Collocations of
Near Synonyms from a
Cross-linguistic Perspective:
English vs Chinese (Mandarin)

조선대학교 대학원 영 어 영 문 학 과 서 교 교



# 연어로 살펴본 영어 중국어 유의어 간의 의미운율의 차이

Semantic Prosody and Collocations of Near Synonyms from a Cross-linguistic Perspective: English vs Chinese (Mandarin)

2018년 8월 23일

조선대학교 대학원 영어영문학과 서교교



Semantic Prosody and Collocations of
Near Synonyms from a
Cross-linguistic Perspective:
English vs Chinese (Mandarin)

지도교수 최 영 주

이 논문을 영어영문학 석사학위신청 논문으로 제출함

2019년 4월 12일

조선대학교 대학원 영 어 영 문 학 과 서 교 교





# 서교교의 석사학위 논문을 인준함

위원장 조선대학교 교수 고언숙(인)

위 원 조선대학교 교수 김채은(인)

위 원 조선대학교 교수 최영주(인)

2019년 5월

조선대학교 대학원





## Table of Contents

## Abstract

I. Introduction	1
II. Literature Review	3
2.1. Collocation and Semantic Prosody	3
2.2. Previous Research	4
III. Method	7
IV. Two Case Studies	9
4.1. The HAPPEN Group	9
4.1.1. The HAPPEN Group in English	9
4.1.2. The HAPPEN Group in Chinese	14
4.2. The EXPERIENCE Group	22
4.2.1. The EXPERIENCE Group in English	22
4.2.2. The EXPERIENCE Group in Chinese	27
V. Implications for Translation	34
VI. Conclusion	38
<references></references>	38





# List of Tables

<table< th=""><th>1&gt;</th><th></th></table<>	1>	
<table< td=""><td>2&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	2>	
<table< td=""><td>3&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	3>	
<table< td=""><td>4&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	4>	
<table< td=""><td>5&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	5>	
<table< td=""><td>6&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	6>	
<table< td=""><td>7&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	7>	
<table< td=""><td>8&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	8>	
<table< td=""><td>9&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	9>	
<table< td=""><td>10&gt;</td><td>21</td></table<>	10>	21
<table< td=""><td>11&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	11>	
<table< td=""><td>12&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	12>	
<table< td=""><td>13&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	13>	
<table< td=""><td>14&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	14>	
<table< td=""><td>15&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	15>	
<table< td=""><td>16&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	16>	
<table< td=""><td>17&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	17>	
<table< td=""><td>18&gt;</td><td></td></table<>	18>	





## **Abstract**

연어로 살펴본 영어 중국어 유의어 간의 의미운율의 차이

서 교 교 지도교수: 최 영 주 영어영문학과 조선대학교 대학원

화자의 태도를 반영하는 의미운율에 관련한 분야가 Louw (1993)에 의해 처 음으로 소개된 이후 많은 언어학자들의 관심의 대상이 되고 있다. 그러나 대 부분의 연구가 영어 데이터에서 발견하는 의미운율에 집중되어 있으며. 다양 한 언어에 나타나는 의미운율에 관한 연구는 매우 부족한 실정이다. 이러한 연구 공백을 메우기 위해. 본 논문에서는 영어와 중국어의 유사어에 나타나 는 의미운율의 차이에 대해서 연구하였다. 다양한 어휘군 중 HAPPEN(발생하 다)류의 유사어와 EXPERIENCE(경험하다)류의 유사어를 살펴보았는데, 먼저 중국어의 HAPPEN류의 어휘 네개와 영어의 HAPPEN류의 어휘 세개를 상호 비교 하고 이후 중국어의 EXPERIENCE류의 어휘 네개와 영어의 EXPERIENCE류의 어 휘 세개를 상호 비교하였다. 영어 자료는 COCA(현대미국언어 말뭉치)를 근거 로 조사하였으며 중국어 자료는 LCMC(랭카스터 중국어 말뭉치)를 근거로 조 사하였다. 영어의 HAPPEN류 어휘 중 take place는 중립 운율로 나타났으며 happen하고 occur는 부정운율의 비율이 높은 것으로 나타났다. 중국어의 HAPPEN류 어휘 중 chan3sheng1는 긍정 운율로 나타났으며 fa1zuo4하고 bao4fa1하고 fa1sheng1는 부정 운율의 비율이 높은 것을 나타났다. 또한 영 어의 EXPERIENCE류 어휘 중 go through는 중립 운율로 나타났으며 부정운율의 비율이 높은 것으로 나타났다. experience는 중국어의





EXPERIENCE류 어휘 중 ti3yan4하고 jing1guo4는 중립 운율로 나타났으며 jing1li4는 부정 운율의 비율이 높은 것을 나타났다. 게다가 영어의 EXPERIENCE류 어휘 중 undergo와 중국어의 EXPERIENCE류 어휘 jing1shou4는 둘 다 인내의 의미를 가지고 있으며, 둘 다 부정적인 의미를 나타내지 않았다. 이를 바탕으로 tmxmall.com 웹사이트에서 영어문장에 대한 중국어 번역의 오류를 의미 운율을 중심으로 살펴보았는데 의미운율을 고려하지 않은 번역이 다수 발견되었다. 따라서 본 논문 말미에서는 의미 운율을 고려한 번역교육이 필요하다는 점에 대해 논의하였다.





#### | . Introduction

Near synonyms are difficult for foreign language learners because of their similar denotative meanings but un-interchangeable semantic prosody (Xiao & McEnery, 2006). Collocations and semantic prosody of near synonyms have recently attracted many researchers, especially in English. Halliday (1976:73) observed that although strong and powerful have similar denotative meanings, strong often collocates with tea, while powerful typically collocates with car. Similarly, according to Tsui (2004), the word high is often used in a metaphorical sense with more abstract nouns, but tall often collocates with concrete nouns, such as people, tree and building. In addition to different collocational behavior, near synonyms also show difference in semantic prosodies. For example, Sinclar (1987) observed that happen and set in both have negative semantic prosodies. Based on the research of Sinclar (1987), Partington (2004:133) argued that not only do happen and set in have unfavourable prosodies, but that the majority of words belonging to the happen semantic group have a negative semantic prosody with different degrees, such as occur, come about and take place. Tognini-Bonelli (2001) found that the word *largely* occurred more frequently with negative words, while broadly often appears with positive ones. In addition, Hu (2015) analyzed the semantic prosody of three adjective synonymous pairs in COCA, namely initial & preliminary, following & subsequent and sufficient & adequate. She found that these three synonymous adjective pairs all obtain neutral semantic prosody in academic texts, but there are tiny differences between each pair. For example, for the pair following & subsequent, the word following prefers to collocate with concrete nouns consisting of specific sub-components or steps, such as questions, examples, criteria, categories, comment, statement(s) and steps, but the major collocations of subsequent are abstract nouns, such as analyses, developments, amendments, comparisons, investigations, revisions and editions. Based on the above analysis, it is obvious that although near synonyms have similar meanings, their collocations and semantic prosody may differ, so we cannot interchange near synonyms randomly, especially when we do translation in





two languages.

Although many researchers have studied English near synonyms, there is little research on the comparison of semantic prosody and collocations of near synonyms in two languages. Sardinha (2000) and Elena (2001) contrast semantic prosodies in European languages, such as English vs. Portuguese and English vs. Italian. Xiao and McEnery (2006) compare semantic prosodies of English and Chinese through three case studies, including the *consequence* group, the *cause* group, and the *price/cost* group. Hashemnia, Hosseini-Maasoum, and Yousefi (2013) have researched the semantic prosodies of two word groups, namely the *CAUSE*-words and the *RESULT*-words, between English and Persian. For foreign learners, it is quite difficult to translate a word or a phrase into their language accurately, because there are near synonyms that have similar denotative meanings as the word or the phrase in other languages but have different semantic prosodies. Therefore, more research on the collocational behaviour and semantic prosody of near synonyms from a cross-linguistic perspective should be done to help second language learners.

This paper will discuss similarities and differences of semantic prosody and collocations of near synonyms between English and Chinese (Mandarin) based on corpus data. Two word groups (verbs) are involved in this paper, including the HAPPEN group and the EXPERIENCE group, and their close translation equivalents falshengl(发生) and jinglli4(经历) groups in Chinese. The reason why I choose HAPPEN group and EXPERIENCE group to do research is that in English HAPPEN-words and EXPERIENCE-words show diversity in semantic prosodies, so I want to see whether their close translation equivalents in Chinese, including their synonyms, also have diverse semantic prosodies. After the discussion of the collocations and semantic prosodies of near synonyms, this paper also discusses the importance of applying semantic prosody to translation. The reason why this paper emphasizes this is that when we translate one language into another language, we may choose inappropriate near synonyms because both languages have features of semantic prosody, and near synonyms are normally not collocationally interchangeable in either language, as they show different semantic





prosodies (Xiao and McEnery 2006). This paper identifies many translation mistakes on the website *tmxmall.com* which includes many English-Chinese translations done by registered members of the website. For example, in sentence (1) they translate *hou4guo3*, which has a strong negative prosody in Chinese, into *result* which has a positive prosody. This translation is not accurate and may confuse readers, especially second language learners. It is better to translate *hou4guo3* into *consequence*, which has the similar negative semantic prosody as *hou4guo3*.

(1) Original text: Ting2ji1 shi4gu4 de1 hou4guo3 shi4 xiang1dang1 yan2zhong4 de1.

Translated text: The results of a shutdown is considerable.

Therefore, it is obvious that semantic prosody and collocations of near synonyms play a crucial role in translation.

### | Literature Review

## 2.1. Collocation and Semantic Prosody

Collocation has been studied for least five decades. Firth (1957) was the first to use the word collocation as a technical term. He stated "I propose to bring forward as a technical term, meaning by collocation, and apply the test of collocability" (Firth 1957:194). According to him, "collocations of a given word are statements of the habitual or customary places of that word" (Firth 1968:181). Also, he used this term to refer to the phonological idea that different sounds create different meanings.

Sinclair (1987) first extended the concept of collocation into semantic prosody. He did research on *happen* and *set in*, and found that they both prefer to collocate with unpleasant things, such as *accident* and *disease*. Later, Louw (1993) defined the concept of collocation as "semantic prosody". He illustrates semantic prosody with some examples. For instance, he found that the phrase *bent on* 





typically collocates with undesirable things, such as destroying, ruining, clinical depression, etc. Louw (2000) observed that semantic prosody is "a form of meaning which is established through the proximity of a consistent series of collocates" (p57), which means that the node word and its collocates often occur within a fixed pattern and the meaning of the pattern reflects the speaker's attitude. Stubbs (2001) argued that the term "semantic prosody" should instead be "discourse prosody", based on the idea of Sinclair (1996) that semantic prosody has the discourse function of a sequence rather than a word. Stubbs (2002:225) further found that "there are always semantic relations between node and collocates, and among the collocates themselves". In other words, semantic prosody arises from the interaction between a given node and its typical collocates (Xiao and Mcenery 2006: 105). Also, Stubbs (2002) classified semantic prosody into three categorizations, including negative, positive, and neutral. For example, the verb cause often collocates with negative nouns such as accident, crisis, delay, etc., while the verb provide is often associated with positive nouns like food, care, and help.

In addition to the above theory, Thompson (2000:5) defined the meaning of semantic prosody as "the speaker or writer's attitude or stance towards, viewpoint or feelings about the entities and propositions that he or she is talking about". In other words, it is an "indication that something is good or bad" (Hunston 2004:157). For example, according to Stubbs' analysis (1995), the word *cause* always collocates with negative words, so it has a strong negative semantic prosody. However, the phrase *bring about*, which has a similar meaning as *cause*, typically does not express a negative meaning (Xiao and McEnry 2006:115), because it usually collocates with *improvement*, *cure*, *success*, *solution*, etc. which denote favourable things. In a word, semantic prosody is an aspect of evaluative meaning (Partington 2004:131).

#### 2.2. Previous Research

Sinclair (1987) first noticed that the items happen and set in are usually





associated with unpleasant events. Therefore, happen and set in have negative semantic prosodies. Partington (2004:133) pointed out that not only do happen and set in have unfavourable prosodies, but words belonging to the HAPPEN semantic group have bad prosodies with different degrees. He researches both set in and happen and some of their "synonyms', namely occur, come about, and take place in two corpora. Set in has an extremely unfavourable prosody because it usually collocates with words and phrases like foot-rot, deterioration, loss of confidence, etc. Happen also has an unfavourable prosody. In addition to its unfavourable prosody, happen is also often used to describe things that occur by chance without any plan, which means happen has a strong tendency to indicate "non-factuality". Occur is very similar to happen, with over twice as many bad environments as good ones, but occur is more frequently used in a neutral environment than happen. Occur also appears in numerous non-factual environments. As for *come about*, there is little evidence that it usually appears in unfavourable environments. Take place is often used to describe things that happen by arrangement rather than by chance. The collocations of take place include both negative words like death, killing, attack, stabbings, riots, disturbances, and fights, and neutral words like trial, celebration, event, meeting, and so on. In summary, set in has the worst prosody, followed by happen, occur, and take place, while there is not enough evidence to show the semantic prosody of the phrase come about. According to the above analysis, it can be said that both individual words and phrases can have semantic prosodies (Schmitt and Carter 2004:7).

In addition to the research on the semantic prosody of near synonyms in one language, there are also other researchers who explore the collocational behaviour and semantic prosody of near synonyms from a cross-linguistic perspective. Xiao and McEnery (2006) conduct research on English and Chinese (Mandarin). First, they researched the *CONSEQUENCE* group. According to their findings, consequence and aftermath have strong negative semantic prosodies, while result and outcome are usually associated with favourable meanings. Similarly, in Chinese jie2guo3(結果) typically expresses a positive meaning, while hou4guo3(后



果) is typically negative, and shuo4guo3(硕果) and cheng2guo3(成果) are inherently positive, whereas ku3guo3(苦果) and e4guo3(恶果) are inherently negative. Second, they researched on the CAUSE group. Based on their research, cause has a strong negative prosody, but bring about typically does not express a negative affective meaning. Result in and result from are used less frequently than cause to show a negative affective meaning and less frequently than bring about to show a positive evaluation. Lead to usually collocates with neutral words, and arouse and give rise to are used relatively infrequently. The close translation equivalents of the CAUSE group in Chinese include chan3sheng1(产生), xing2cheng2(形成), zao4cheng2(造成), yin3qi3(引起), dai4lai2(带来), dao3zhi4(导 zhi4shi3(致使)、 vin3fa1(引发)、 cu4shi3(促使)、 致)、 cu4cheng2(促成)、 Zhi4shi3(致使), niang4cheng2(酿成), niang4cheng2(酿成). zao4cheng2(造成), yin3fa1(引发), and dao3zhi4(导致) have strong negative prosodies, while cu4shi3 (促使) and cu4cheng2(促成) often collocate with positive words. Dai4lai2(带来) and yin3qi3(引起) are less negative than the words with strong negative prosodies mentioned above. Xing2cheng2(形成) and chan3sheng1(产生) are relatively neutral.

Also, Hashemnia, Hosseini-Maasoum, and Yousefi (2013) examined the semantic prosody behavior of some Persian words that are close translation equivalents of cause and bring about, and consequence, result, outcome, and aftermath. They found that semantic prosodies of the close translation equivalents are also obvious in Persian, but there are some differences from the words in English. For example, although the semantic prosodies of the near synonyms cause and bring about are different, the semantic prosodies of their close translation equivalents in Persian are not, and they can be used interchangeably. As for the RESULT-words, the semantic prosody of these words are quite similar in both languages. In English, result and outcome have a positive semantic prosody, while consequence and aftermath share a negative semantic prosody. The Persian equivalent hasel has a strongly positive semantic prosody, and its near synonym natijeh has neutral semantic prosody. Two other near synonyms payamad and aghebat have overwhelmingly negative semantic prosodies.

Although some research on the collocations and semantic prosody of near





synonyms has been done, more research is needed to help language learners. Therefore, this paper is going to deal with the semantic prosody and collocations of near synonyms in English and Chinese (Mandarin).

## III. Method

In order to identify the collocations of both English and Chinese, this paper has collected data from two reliable corpora. The corpus used to find the collocates of the HAPPEN group and the EXPERIENCE group in English is the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA), which is the "largest freely-available corpus of English, and the only large and balanced corpus of American English"1) This corpus contains more than 450 million words from texts from a wide range of genres, including spoken, fiction, magazines, newspapers, and academic papers. The data analysis of the HAPPEN group and the EXPERIENCE group in English is based on the 20 most frequent collocates within a span of four words to the left for the HAPPEN group and four words to the right for the EXPERIENCE group in COCA, and only those collocates with a mutual information over three are selected for comparison. In addition, in order to find out the semantic prosodies of two word groups accurately, one hundred randomly selected concordance lines with each of the 20 collocates are examined, and all the concordance lines are looked at for those words with less than 100 concordance lines. Also, these concordance lines are rechecked by another researcher.

For the close translation equivalents of *happen* and *experience* in Chinese, this paper uses a dictionary called *Dict.cn*<sup>2</sup>) to find out the corresponding words. According to this dictionary, *happen* can be translated into *falshengl(发生)*, *chulxian4(出现)*, *peng4qiao3(碰巧)*, and *ou3yu4(偶遇)*, but the close translation equivalent of *happen* is *falshengl(发生)* which takes up 83% in the pie chart. Also, *experience* can be translated into *jinglyan4(经验)*, *jinglli4(经历)*, *ti3yan4(体* 



<sup>1)</sup> cited from the website https://corpus.byu.edu/COHA/help/intro.asp

<sup>2)</sup> This online translation dictionary includes more than 20 million words. It uses pie chart to explain the translated words.



验), and gan3shou4(感受). Among these translated words, jing1yan4(经验) which occupies 51% in the pie chart should be excluded, because it is used as a noun. Therefore, among the rest three translated words, the close translation equivalent of *expereience* is jing1li4(经历) which takes up 26% in the rest 49% pie chart.

After finding the close translation equivalents of happen and experience, this paper uses two online dictionaries to find out synonyms of falshengl(发生) and jinglli4(经历). In one online dictionary jinyici.xpcha.com, synonyms of falshengl (发生) include chan3shengl(产生), falzuo4(发作), and bao4fal(爆发), and synonyms of jinglli4(经历) contain ti3yan4(体验), tong2guo4(通过), jinglguo4(经过), jinglshou49(经受), and yue4li4(阅历). In another online dictionary jinyifanyi.wncx.cn, synonyms of falshengl(发生) include chan3shengl(产生), falzuo4(发作), chulxian(出现), and bao4fal(爆发), and synonyms of jinglli4(经历) contain ti3yan4(体验), jinglguo4(经过), jinglshou4(经受), and shi3mo4(始未). Therefore, this paper chose chan3shengl(产生), falzuo4(发作), and bao4fal(爆发) as the synonyms of falshengl(发生), and ti3yan4(体验), jinglguo4(经过), and jinglshou4(经受) as the synonyms of jinglli4(经历).

In order to find out the collocates of Chinese falsheng1(发生)-words and jing1li4(经历)-words, this paper uses the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (LCMC), which is designed as a Chinese match of the Freiburg-LOB Corpus of British English (FLOB), providing a valuable resource for contrastive studies between English and Chinese. This corpus is made by Tony McEnery and Richard Xiao and includes more than 100 million words. In LCMC, the data analysis is based on the first one hundred concordance lines, and all the concordance lines are looked at for the words with less than 100 concordance lines. Also, the semantic prosodies of these concordance lines are rechecked by another researcher. During research, some irrelevant data are excluded. For example, the English-Chinese dictionary shows that the Chinese equivalent of experience is jing1li4(经历), which can also be used as a noun referring to things or events that have been seen, done, or encountered by oneself or others. These uses are excluded from the analysis.

In this paper, negative collocates are italic and bold, and positive ones are





underlined in the following tables.

## **IV.** Two Case Studies

## 4.1. The HAPPEN Group

#### 4.1.1 The HAPPEN Group in English

#### **HAPPEN**

The negative semantic prosody of *happen* has been widely observed (most notably by Sinclair 1987). In this research, the first twenty collocates of the *happen*-words in COCA are examined, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with happen in COCA

collocates	frequency
things	9, 549
thing	5,878
accident	498
incident	428
accidents	356
tragedy	211
$\underline{\mathtt{miracle}}$	170
<u>miracles</u>	148
incidents	129
heck	68
shootings	56
tragedies	42
inbox	24
enormity	17
coincidences	16
microcosm	15
mishaps	12
catastrophes	11





# burglaries 10 radicalization 9

It is obvious that the majority of these collocates have strict negative meanings, such as accident(s), incident(s), tragedy(s), heck, shootings, enormity, mishaps, catastrophes, burglaries, and radicalization. There are some examples selected from 100 concordance lines, as shown in the following:

- (2) a. I'll bet that was just another <u>accident</u> of yours that <u>happened</u> to screw up my life!
  - b. When a <u>tragedy</u> like this <u>happens</u>, the community comes together and really strengthens.
  - c. Three of the <u>shootings happened</u> in Atlanta and one was in Fairburn in south Fulton County.
  - d. It's hard to know exactly what the <u>heck</u> is <u>happening</u> over the course of this entire sequence.

According to Table 1, there are only two positive collocates, *miracle* and *miracles*, as shown in (3).

- (3) a. We almost gave up our life, but it is kind of a miracle, a <u>miracle</u> happened to my family to survive.
  - b. You know, miracles have happened to me since I fell ill.

Other collocates are neutral, such as *thing(s)*, *coincidences*, and *microcosm*. Although these words are neutral, when they collocate with *happen*, they carry negative meanings, as shown in (4).

- (4) a. And this is so unfortunate that things like these have to happen.
  - b. People die, coincidences happen, accidents happen.
  - c. But the fight is also a microcosm of what's happening in the conventional TV business as a whole.





In sentence a, because of the word *unfortunate*, the meaning of *things* is negative. Similarly, in sentence b, because of the word *die*, *coincidence* becomes negative, and in sentence c, because of *the fight*, the word *microcosm* becomes negative. Therefore, it is obvious that the word *happen* has a strong negative semantic prosody.

#### **OCCUR**

A synonym of the word *happen* is *occur*. *Occur* also has strongly negative semantic prosody, because according to the twenty collocates, more than half of them have negative meanings, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with occur in COCA

collocates	frequency
changes	1362
events	956
change	881
event	546
incident	525
deaths	404
cases	384
behavior	380
error	299
accident	292
growth	290
violence	288
incidents	261
attack	255
damage	253
activity	233
injuries	226
crime	225
shift	209
injury	207





Therefore, it is obvious that *occur* also carries negative semantic prosody. Here are some examples randomly chosen from 100 concordance lines, as shown in (5).

- (5) a. Which is a reminder of a New York transformed by 9/11 -- an <a href="incident">incident</a> that <a href="occurred">occurred</a> just before the debut of Friends' eighth season.
  - b. The sheriff's office in Sonoma County, where most of the <u>deaths</u> have *occurred*.
  - c. Several studies indicate that <u>medication errors</u> are the most likely type of error that can *occur*.
  - d. New York are investigating the <u>attack</u>, which <u>occurred</u> Friday morning, as a hate crime, according to The Cornell Daily Sun.

In addition, one collocate, growth, shows positive prosody, as in An opportunity for growth and development has occurred for the general care nurse through the ENIT program. Other collocates of the word occur bear neutral prosody, such as change(s), event(s), cases, behaviour, activity, and shift. Although these words are neutral, when they collocate with occur, they express negative meanings, which is similar to the word happen, as shown in (6):

- (6) a. Irreversible <u>changes</u> in ecosystems could <u>occur</u>, <u>causing massive</u> emigration and greater conflicts.
  - b. My nerves had yet to calm down from the <u>unfortunate events</u> that had *occurred* over the past summer.
  - c. Cases of Lyme disease occur annually among Washtenaw residents.
  - d. It is also possible, however, that <u>problem</u> <u>behavior</u> continued to <u>occur</u> during the escape interval in order to avoid the re-presentation of demands.

In summary, *occur* also has a strong negative semantic prosody like *happen*, but the difference between the two words is that the most significant nominal collocate of *occur* is *changes* (the MI scores for *changes* is 5.1, occurring 1,362





times as a collocate of *occur*), which mainly bears bad meanings when it collocates with *occur*, while that of *happen* is *thing(s)* (the MI scores for *things* and *thing* is 3.99 and 3.55 respectively, occurring 9,549 and 5,878 times as collocates of *happen*).

#### TAKE PLACE

The phrase *take place* is also a synonym of *happen*, but *take place* does not express a strongly negative affective meaning according to the 20 collocates shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with take place in COCA

collocates	frequency
changes	665
events	493
event	328
change	304
meeting	277
action	227
learning	222
conversation	192
activity	169
incident	160
attack	160
activities	158
debate	147
elections	128
meetings	120
ceremony	119
shooting	118
discussions	115
transformation	114
attacks	110

It is obvious that most of the collocates are neutral, as shown in the following





#### examples:

- (7) a. Next we discuss some of the <u>changes</u> that have <u>taken place</u> with the infusion of guided reading.
  - b. The induction <u>ceremonies</u> and concert <u>events</u> will <u>take place</u> on three days: April 23, 29 and 30.
  - c. The meeting will take place at a fortress in Orion in two months.
  - d. The primary habitus is typically formed through <u>educational activity</u> that <u>takes place</u> within a family group.

However, there are other four collocates that have negative meanings, so *take* place can also collocate with negative words, as shown in (8)

- (8) a. Four men were charged in the <u>attack</u>, which <u>took place</u> around 2:30 a.m. outside the club in Houston.
  - b. According to her account, the <u>incident took place</u> on the last day of shooting for the film.
  - c. The <u>shooting took place</u> a few minutes after Sharif and a second Palestinian had attacked soldiers with knives.

Therefore, *take place* has negative semantic prosody in addition to neutral semantic prosody, but the major semantic prosody is neutral since there are much more neutral collocates than negative ones.

According to the above analysis, the most significant nominal collocates of occur and take place are both changes and events, which can either be good or bad, but when they collocate with take place, they mainly show neutral prosody, while when they collocate with occur, they have negative prosody. Therefore, take place is relatively neutral, whereas occur is negative.

In sum, *happen* has the worst prosody, followed by *occur*, while *take place* mainly has neutral semantic prosody.

## 4.1.2. The HAPPEN Group in Chinese





The Chinese close equivalent of *happen* is *falshengl(发生)*. There are 341 instances in LCMC. Among them, 100 concordance lines are examined, and the collocates with frequency more than two are recorded in Table 4.

Table 4. Collocates with frequency more than two co-occurring with falsheng1(发生) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
bian4hua4(change)	29
shi4(thing)	14
zailhai4(disaster)	5
che1huo4(accident)	5
dong4luan4(turmoil)	4
${\it chong1tu1} \ ({\it conf1ict})$	4
di4zheng4(earthquake)	3
zheng1zhi4(dispute)	3
bing4(disease)	2
bei1ju4(tragedy)	2
lian2xi(contact)	2
xian4xiang4(phenomenon)	2
duan4xian4(break line)	2
ji1zhan4(fierce fighting)	2
chong2bai4(worship)	2

According to the table, it is obvious that most of the collocates have negative meanings, so *falsheng1* mainly has negative semantic prosody. Here are some example sentences:

(9)Zai4 lou2 wai4 de1 ren2men1 kan4jian4 yi4chang3 outside Gen. building people see a si1xin1lie4fei4 del beilju4 jiu4yao4 falshengl le4. heart-breaking tragedy will happen "People outside the building see a heart-breaking tragedy happening"





- b. Li2hun1 shuang1fang1 yin1 fu3yang3 wei4cheng2nian2 zi3nv3 divorce both side for raise minor chidren fa1sheng1 zheng1zhi4.
  - happen dispute
  - "Disputes concerning raising children happened between both sides of the divorce"
- c. Xi3yi1ji1 zai4 shi3yong4 guo4cheng2 zhong1 <u>fa1sheng1</u> washing machine usage process during happen gu4zhang4,

breakdown

"A sudden breakdown happened to the washing machine during usage"

In addition, there are two collocates, namely bian4hua4(change) and shi4(thing), which have high frequencies in the table, carrying neutral meanings. In order to find out semantic prosodies of sentences including bian4hua4(change) and shi4(thing), another researcher also took part in doing the judgement together, and the results are shown in the following tables.

Table 5. Cross-sectional table of two researchers' judgments about the meaning of bian4hua4(change)

		valuator1			
		positive	negative	neutral	total
valuator 2	positive	8	0	3	11
	negative	1	2	1	4
	neutral	2	2	10	14
	total	11	4	14	29

After doing the judgement, this paper uses Kappa to quantify interrater agreement. According to Kappa, the results are shown as following:

Number of observed agreements: 20 ( 68.97% of the observations)





Number of agreements expected by chance: 11.5 ( 39.60% of the observations)

Kappa= 0.486

SE of kappa = 0.140

95% confidence interval: From 0.211 to 0.761

The strength of agreement is considered to be 'moderate'.

Therefore, the data in the table is reliable. According to the above table, when bian4hua4(change) collocates with falsheng1(发生), it at least doesn't express negative meanings.

The same research has been done about the meaning of *shi4(thing)*, as shwon in Table 6.

Table 6. Cross-sectional table of two researchers' judgments about the meaning of *shi4(thing)* 

		valuator1			
		positive	negative	neutral	total
valuator 2	positive	2	1	0	3
	negative	0	8	1	9
	neutral	1	1	0	2
	total	3	10	1	14

According to Kappa, the results are shown as following:

Number of observed agreements: 10 (71.43% of the observations)

Number of agreements expected by chance: 7.2 ( 51.53% of the observations)

Kappa= 0.411

SE of kappa = 0.208

95% confidence interval: From 0.003 to 0.818

The strength of agreement is considered to be 'moderate'.





Therefore, the data in the table is reliable. According to the table, when shi4(thing) collocates with  $falsheng1(\cancel{\cancel{5}\cancel{\cancel{5}}})$ , it mainly has negative semantic prosody. This situation is similar to its close translation equivalent happen, because when happen collocates with its most significant nominal collocate things, it mainly has negative semantic prosody.

In a word, falshengl(发生) mainly has negative semantic prosody, but when it collocates with the word bian4hua4(change), it normally doesn't express negative meanings.

Common near synonyms of the word falshengl(发生) in Chinese is chan3shengl(产生), falzuo4(发作) and bao4fal(爆发). Different from the word falshengl(发生), chan3shengl(产生) is a relatively positive or neutral word. There are 361 concordance lines of chan3shengl in LCMC. Among them, 100 concordance lines are examined, and the collocates with frequency more than two are recorded in Table 7.

Table 7. Collocates with frequency more than two co-occurring with chan3sheng1(产生) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
ying3xiang3(influence)	14
zongljiao4(religion)	9
<pre>gan3jue2(feeling)</pre>	6
bian4hua4(change)	5
shen2chuang4lun4(creationsim)	5
chong2bai4(worship)	3
<pre>xiang3xiang4(imagination)</pre>	3
xiao4guo3(effect)	3
<pre>xin11i3(mentality)</pre>	3
<pre>guan1nian4(concept)</pre>	3
${\it mao2dun4}$ (contradiction)	2
wen $4ti2 ( extit{prob1em})$	2
li4liang4(power)	2
guang1(light)	2
xian4xiang4(phenomenon)	2





Based on the table, most of the collocates have neutral meanings. In addition, in the rest 36 collocates, there are six collocates have positive meanings; 10 collocates have negative meanings; 20 collocates carry neutral meanings. Therefore, *chan3sheng1*(产生) mainly has neutral semantic prosody. Here are some examples:

(10) a. Zhe4 ji2da4de1 gai3bian4 le4 ren2lei4 de1 sheng1huo2, ye3 dui4 this dramatically change Past. human Gen. life also for shi4jie4 zong1jiao4 <u>chan3sheng1</u> le4 qian2suo3wei4you3de1 world religion take place Past. unprecedented <u>ying3xiang3</u>.

influence

- "It dramatically changed the lives of humans. Also, an unprecedented influence took place in the religion"
- b. Wo3guo2 yuan2shi3 zong1jiao4 dui4 hou4shi4 de1 for our country primitive religion later generations Gen. si1xiang3wen2hua4 *chan3sheng1* le1 shen1yuan3de1 ying3xiang3 ideology and culture take place Past. profound influence "A profound influence of our country's primitive religion has taken place in the ideology and culture of later generations"
- c. Ren2men dui4 ke4guan1de ren4shi2 bi4ran2 jin4ru4 xin1de gao1du4, people for objective understanding must enter new height cong2er2 shi4 yi4shi2xing2tai4 chan3sheng1 bian4hua4. thus make idenology take place change "People's understanding of the objective will inevitably enter a new height, thus changes will take place in the ideology"

In addition, according to 100 concordance lines in LCMC, the most significant nominal collocate of *chan3sheng1* is the word *ying3xiang3(influence)*. There are 14 examples in LCMC. In order to find out semantic prosodies of *ying3xiang3(influence)* when it collocates with *chan3sheng1*, the same two researchers' evaluation research has been done, as shown in Table 8.





Table 8. Cross-sectional table of two researchers' judgments about the meaning of *ying3xiang3(influence)* 

		valuator1			
		positive	negative	neutral	total
valuator 2	positive	2	0	3	5
	negative	0	3	0	3
	neutral	2	1	3	6
	total	4	4	6	14

According to Kappa, the results are shown as following:

Number of observed agreements: 8 ( 57.14% of the observations)

Number of agreements expected by chance: 4.9 ( 34.69% of the observations)

Kappa= 0.344

SE of kappa = 0.213

95% confidence interval: From -0.073 to 0.760

The strength of agreement is considered to be 'fair'

Therefore, the data in the table is also reliable. According to the table, when  $chan3sheng1(\cancel{r}\pm\cancel{x})$  collocates with ying3xiang3(influence), it mainly has neutral or positive semantic prosody. In summary,  $chan3sheng1(\cancel{r}\pm\cancel{x})$  has relatively neutral semantic prosody, though sometimes it can collocate with negative words.

Another near synonym of *falsheng1(发生)* is the word *falzuo4(发作)*. There are only 18 examples in LCMC, and all of them have negative meanings, as shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Collocates co-occurring with falzuo4(发作) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
guan4xin1bing4(heart disease)	8
nu4huo3 (rage)	3
du2(poison)	2





si1xiang3can2yu2(remnant ideas)	1
zi4bei1gan3(inferiority)	1
pilshuangl(white arsenic)	1
shang1 (injury)	1
van1yin3(tobacco addiction)	1

Therefore, *falzuo4* has strongly negative semantic prosody. In addition, according to the table, we can see most of the words have different meanings of disease, so *falzuo4* prefers to collocate with words related to disease.

Bao4fa1(爆发) is also a near synonym of falsheng1(发生). There are only 20 examples in LCMC. The collocates of bao4fa1(爆发) are recorded in Table 10.

Table 10. Collocates co-occurring with bao4fa1(爆发) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
zhan4zheng1 (war)	11
qi3yi4 (revolt)	2
yuan4fen4(rage)	1
<pre>jing1ji4wei1ji1(economic crisis)</pre>	1
tong4ku1(wai1)	1
huo3shan1 (vo1cano)	1
${\it ge2ming4}$ $({\it revolution})$	1
yun4dong4(movement)	1

It is obvious that almost all of the collocates are negative except the neutral one yun4dong4(movement), which has a positive meaning in the example in LCMC. For example, the May 4th Movement in China is a positive movement, so when wu3si4 yun4dong4 (the May 4th Movement) collocates with bao4fa1, the word bao4fa1 has positive semantic prosody. In addition, according to the collocates listed above, bao4fa1 prefers to collocate with words related to war, such as zhan4zheng1(war), qi3yi4(revolt), ge2ming4(revolution), and jing1ji4wei1ji1(economic crisis) which sounds like abstract war.

In sum, falzuo4 (发作) has the worst prosody, followed by bao4fal (爆发),





followed by falshengl (发生), while chan3shengl (产生) has relatively neutral semantic prosody.

## 4.2. The EXPERIENCE Group

## 4.2.1. The EXPERIENCE Group in English

#### **EXPERIENCE**

The first twenty collocates of *experience* are examined, and most of them have negative meanings, as shown in Table 11.

Table 11. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with experience in COCA

collocates	frequency
problems	576
growth	441
pain	430
symptoms	351
difficulties	331
	329
stress	
loss	322
levels	298
difficulty	276
success	243
anxiety	194
feelings	186
effects	185
depression	180
decline	178
violence	169
trauma	150
abuse	144
joy	139
crisis	130





Here are some examples taken from COCA, as shown in (11).

- (11) a. There are some students saying that they <u>experience</u> <u>problems</u> because the program in practice schools changed frequently.
  - b. We experience pain as intrinsically unpleasant.
  - c. Students who struggle with reading in elementary school may <u>experience</u> <u>difficulties</u> and exhibit lack of motivation to learn in their future academic endeavors.

Also, there are three positive collocates, including *growth*, *success*, and *joy*, so *experience* can also collocate with positive words. Although the rest of the collocates are neutral, when they collocate with *experience*, they often obtain negative meanings, as shown in the following sentences:

- (12) a. Researchers have noted that twice-exceptional students <u>experience</u> high <u>levels</u> of <u>anxiety, poor self-concept, and anger.</u>
  - Apparently, international students who <u>experience</u> <u>feelings of</u> <u>estrangement and social isolation</u> are more likely to exhibit adjustment problems.
  - c. It is often proposed as an alternative therapy in those who experience side effects from statins.

Therefore, experience has a strongly negative semantic prosody.

#### **UNDERGO**

One near synonym of *experience* is *undergo*. The top 20 collocates of *undergo* are shown in Table 12.





Table 12. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with undergo in COCA

collocates	frequency
surgery	1, 428
change	553
changes	476
treatment	438
transformation	350
training	234
therapy	213
procedure	211
testing	201
process	181
tests	173
chemotherapy	137
cancer	130
evaluation	125
radiation	123
renovation	112
procedures	102
transition	101
treatments	96
heart	96

According to the italic and bold words in the table, *undergo* is often used in the condition of medical science. During an operation and treatment, patients often endure much pain, so these words are negative for patients. However, after enduring pain, the outcome is often positive, as shown in the following examples:

- (13) a. Senator John McCain <u>underwent</u> <u>surgery</u> Friday to <u>remove a blood</u> <u>clot</u> above his left eye.
  - b. We don't always look sickly when we're <u>undergoing</u> <u>treatment</u>, and we just want to <u>live longer</u>.
  - c. Laura had slowly awakened from a coma and now she was





<u>undergoing</u> intensive <u>therapy</u> to <u>rebuild her mental and physical</u> powers.

In sentence a, because he endured *the surgery*, his eyes will be healthy again. In sentence b, because we endured *the treatment*, we can live longer, and in sentence c, because Laura endured *the intensive therapy*, she can rebuild her mental and physical powers. Therefore, we can say *undergo* also has the meaning of "endurance" which is different from *experience*, which often just focuses on the description of a fact, not showing the underlying feeling.

In addition, the other italic words, including *procedure(s)*, *testing*, *tests*, *evaluation*, and *heart*, are also often used in the condition of medical science when they collocate with *undergo*, as shown in (14):

- (14) a. This morning I <u>underwent</u> a successful <u>procedure</u> to <u>remove the os</u> trigonum from my right ankle.
  - b. The decision comes after Keach <u>underwent</u> <u>medical testing</u> following his opening night performance.
  - Deeds's son had <u>undergone</u> a <u>psychiatric evaluation</u> the day before his death.
  - d. About 100,000 people have <u>undergone</u> <u>heart transplantation</u> worldwide.

Therefore, *undergo* is often used in the condition of medical science, and has the meaning of "endurance". Because of the "endurance" meaning, although the other collocates are neutral, such as *change(s)*, *transformation*, *training*, *process*, *renovation*, and *transition*, when they collocate with *undergo*, they often show positive results, as shown in the following sentences:

(15) a. The health care industry has undergone tremendous change in recent





years, and that's led to innovative technologies.

- b. This work is predicated on an acknowledgment that teaching, learning, and working is <u>undergoing</u> fundamental <u>transformation</u> as a result of intense technical <u>innovation</u>.
- c. The officers <u>undergo</u> special <u>training</u> on how to <u>handle the homeless</u> and <u>mentally ill</u>, he said.

In summary, the semantic prosody of *undergo* is different from that of *experience*. *Undergo* does not express negative meanings. It carries the meaning of "endurance", and is often used in the condition of medical science.

#### GO THROUGH

Another near synonym of *experience* is the phrase *go through*. This phrase is relatively neutral according to the 20 collocates, as shown in Table 13.

Table 13. Top 20 collocates co-occurring with go through in COCA

collocates	frequency
process	1, 276
mind	959
motions	530
period	491
divorce	322
roof	304
training	230
changes	221
phase	217
hell	209
stuff	178
list	175
stages	173
pain	147





periods	131
routine	123
transition	112
phases	105
crisis	102
menopause	88

There are only four negative collocates, and the other words are all neutral. According to the only italic collocates, we find that the phrase *go through* often collocates with time and place words, such as *period(s)*, *roof*, *phase(s)*, *stages*, and *menopause*.

In sum, *experience* has a strongly negative semantic prosody. *Undergo* is often used in the condition of medical science, and it mainly doesn't express negative meanings, because it has the meaning of "endurance" and the results of endurance are often positive. Finally, the phrase *go through* carries neutral semantic prosody and often collocates with time and place words.

## 4.2.2. The EXPERIENCE Group in Chinese

The Chinese close equivalent of *experience* is *jing1li4*(经历) which also has negative semantic prosody. According to LCMC, there are 94 concordance lines related to the word *jing1li4*, but among them there are only 47 examples in which *jing1li4* is used as a verb. The collocates of *jing1li4*(经历) among 47 examples are shown in Table 14.

Table 14. Collocates co-occuring with jinglli4(经历) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
guo4cheng2(procedure)	10
jielduan4(phrase)	8





zhan4zheng1 (war) kuang2xi3 (wild with joy) wei1xian3 (risk) feng1bo1 (disturbance) cuo4che2 (setback) ge2he2 (estrangement), wu4qu1 (misunderstanding),	3 3 3 2 2
touldu4(steal to another country),  da3ji1(strike),  tong4ku3(pain),  shu1si3pin1bo2(try to fight),  ying3ji1fan3ying4(stress respond),  jian1xin1(hardship),  wen2ge2(the Culture Revolution)	every collocate appear once
xing2dong4(action), gai3bian4(change), xuan3ze4(choice), nian2(year), tiao2zheng3(adjustment), yun4zhuan3(revolve), duo1ci4(many times) xiang1ai4(fall in love)	every collocate appear once

Based on the table, more than half of the collocates are negative, but the most significant collocates is *guo4cheng2(procedure)* which has neutral meanings. In order to find out the semantic prosody of *guo4cheng2(procedure)* when it collocates with *jing1li4(经历)*, the same two evaluators' judgement research has been done, and the result is shown in Table 15.

Table 15. Cross-sectional table of two researchers' judgments about the meaning of guo4cheng2(procedure)





		valuator1			
		positive	negative	neutral	total
valuator 2	positive	1	1	0	2
	negative	1	5	0	6
	neutral	0	1	1	2
	total	2	7	1	10

According to Kappa, the results are shown as following:

Number of observed agreements: 7 ( 70.00% of the observations)

Number of agreements expected by chance: 4.8 ( 48.00% of the observations)

Kappa= 0.423

SE of kappa = 0.273

95% confidence interval: From -0.113 to 0.959

The strength of agreement is considered to be 'moderate'.

Therefore, the data in the table is reliable. According to the table, when guo4cheng2(procedure) collocates with *experience*, it mainly has negative semantic prosody. In a word, jing1li4(经历) mainly does not express positive meanings. Here are some example sentences taken from LCMC:

(16) a. Yu2 Hong2 gang1 <u>jing1li4</u> le1 li2hun1 de4 <u>feng1bo1</u>,
Yu Hong just now experience Past. divorce Gen. disturbance
yan3xia4 shi4 du2shen1yi4ren2. (negative)

now is alone

- "Yu Hong has just experienced the a bad divorce and is alone now"
- b. <u>Jing1li4</u> le4 liang3ci4 <u>shi4jie4da4zhan4</u> de1 ou1zhou1 ge4guo2 experience Past. two times Word War Gen. European countries zi4ran2 you3xie1 xin1jing1. (negative)
  naturally a little afraid

"The European countries that experienced two World Wars are



naturally afraid"

c. Jian4guo2 yi3lai2, zhong1guo2 foundation of the People's Republic of Chin since China zhi2gong1 gong1zi1 shui3ping2 jing1li4 guo3 san1ge4 jie1duan4, employees wage level experience Past. three stage cheng2 N xing2 zeng1zhang3 qu3xian4. (neutral) show N shape increasing trend "Since the founding of the People's Republic of China, the level of wages of Chinese employees has gone through three stages, showing an N-shaped growth curve"

Near synonyms of the word jing1li4(经历) in Chinese are jing1shou4(经受), ti3yan4(体验) and jing1guo4(经过). The Chinese close equivalent of undergo is jing1shou4, because jing1shou4 also has the same meaning of "endurance" as undergo. Only 12 examples of jing1shou4 are found in LCMC. Nominal collocates of jing1shou4 are shown in Table 16.

Table 16. Collocates co-occurring with jing1shou4(经受) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
kao3yan4(ordea1)	3
duan4lian4(drill)	3
kun4fa2(weary)	1
da3ji1(hit)	1
di4ceng2(stratum)	1
${\it chong1ji1} ({\it strike})$	1
${\it zai1nan4(disaster)}$	1
cuo4zhe1 (setback)	1

Almost all of the words are negative. However, although these words are negative, after enduring these negative events, the outcomes are often positive, which is similar to the word *undergo*, as shown in (17).





- (17) a. Wo3men1 wei3da4de1 she4hui4zhu3yi4 zu3guo2 he2 ying1xiong2de1 socialist motherland and heroic our great ge4zu2ren2min2 jing1shou4 le1 yan2jun4de1 kao3yan4. peoples undergo Past. ordeal severe Ren2min2 ying2de2 le1 sheng4li4, zu3guo2 zhuan3wei1wei2an1. motherland people win Past. victory become safe "After undergoing the ordeal, our great socialist motherland and heroic peoples won the victory, and the motherland became safe"
  - b. Zi4ji3 ying1 jin4liang4 she4fa3 shi3 liang2xiong1 neng2 jing1shou4 should best make Mr Liang try can undergo jian3qing1 zhu4 zhe4 ju4da4de1 da3ji1, ba4 tong4ku3 this big blow make pain reduce zui4di1 xian4du4.

minimum

- "He should try his best to help Mr Liang undergo this huge blow and reduce the pain to the minimum"
- c. Zhang1 Man4yu4 bu4zhi3 yi1ci4 de1 jing1shou4 guo4 gan3qing2de1 not only one time undergo Zhang Manyu Past. emotional cuo4zhe2, ran2ze2 ta1 ren4wei2 ai4qing2 shi3zhong1 shi4 setback but she think love always make ren2sheng1 suo3xu1 de1 tiao2ji4pin3.

lie needed product

"Zhang Manyu has not only undergone emotional setbacks once, but she still believes that love is always the product of life's needs"

In sentence a, after enduring the ordeal, people won the victory. In sentence b, only after enduring a huge blow can the pain be reduced to the minimum. Also, in sentence c, although she has endured many emotional setbacks, the woman still believes she can get love. Therefore, *jing1shou4* is like *undergo* in that they both mainly doesn't express negative meanings.





As for the word *ti3yan4(体验*), its prosody depends on the context. There are 30 concordance lines in LCMC, but only 18 of them used the word *ti3yan4(体验)* as a verb. Nominal collocates of *ti3yan4(体验)* can be seen in Table 17.

Table 17. Collocates co-occurring with ti3yan4(体验) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
ying4ji1fan3ying4(stress response)	10
sheng1huo2(life)	2
dong1xi(thing)	1
xing4fu2(happiness)	1
xing4ai3(sex)	1
tong4ku3jing4yu4(painful situation)	1
jie4dai4(debit and credit)	1
zongljiao4(religion)	1

According to the table, we can see that *ti3yan4* can collocate with all positive, neutral and negative words, as shown in (18). In addition, *ti3yan4(体验)* prefers to collocate with the word *ying4ji1fan3ying4(stress response)*.

(18) a. Er2 xing4yun4 jiu4shi4 yao4 zui4 chong1fen4de1 <u>ti3yan4</u>
However luck is have the most adequately experience <u>xing4fu2</u>. (positive)

happiness

"However, good fortune is that we can experience happiness adequately"

 b. Hen3duo1 <u>ying4ji1fan3ying4</u> zhi3you3 zai4 fan3ying4 many stress response only at reaction zhong1 cai3 <u>ti3yan4</u> dao4. (negative)

in can experienced

"Many stress responses can only be experienced during the reaction"





Wo3 geng4 xu1yao4 de1 shi4 neng2 jiao4 wo3 more need Gen. is I can teach me gan3shou4dao4、 ti3yandao4、 kan4de2dao4、 mo1de2dao4 experience see touch del dong1xi1. (neutral) Gen. things "What I need more is something that I can feel, experience, see, and touch"

In the above examples, *ti3yan4* is always translated as *experience*. According to the previous explanation, *experience* often collocates with negative words, but sometimes it can collocate with positive or neutral words. The reason why we often translate *ti3yan4* as *experience* is that when *experience* acts as a noun, it has a meaning of obtaining something useful after an experience, which is similar to the meaning of the word *ti3yan4*.

Another near synonym of the word jing1li4(经历) is jing1guo4(经过) which has neutral semantic prosody. There are 265 concordance lines in LCMC. The first 100 sentences are examined in this study, and the collocates with the frequency more than two are recorded in the following table.

Table 18. Collocates with frequency more than two co-occurring with jing1guo4(经过) in LCMC

collocates	frequency
shen3yi4(deliberation)	9
nu3li4(effort)	7
<pre>guan1cha2(observation)</pre>	4
shi3yan4(experiment)	4
shi2jian4(practice)	3
duan4lian4(drill)	2
<pre>jian4she4(construction)</pre>	2





<pre>xuan3ze2(choice)</pre>	2
${\it zheng 3 dun 4} \ ({\it reorganization})$	2
xiao3shi2(hour), yi1ye4(night),	
tian1(day), yue4(month),	18
nian2(year)	
chuan2da2shi4(reception room),	
shou3(hand),	
zuo3xin1shi4(ventriculus	7
sinister),	1
pan2shan11u4(sky way),	
zhe41i3(here), da4men2kou3(gate)	

All of these collocates are neutral. In addition, there are four words which are related to the meaning of time appearing 18 times in the table, and six words relating to the meaning of place appearing seven times in the table. Thus, we can say <code>jing1guo4(经过)</code> prefers to collocate with the words related to time and place, which is like the English phrase <code>go through</code>.

In sum, in Chinese, jing1li4(经历) mainly has negative semantic prosody like the English word experience. Jing1shou4(经受) like the English word undergo in that they both have the meaning of "endurance" and don't express negative meanings, because the meanings of the sentences including these two words are often positive. Ti3yan4(体验) in Chinese is also similar to the English word experience because they both have the meaning of obtaining something useful after an experience when they act as nouns. Finally, the Chinese equivalent of go through is jing1guo4(经过). These two words both have neutral semantic prosody and can both collocate with time and place words.

## **V.** Implications for Translation

After analyzing the semantic prosody of near synonyms from a cross-linguistic





perspective, we can see that both Chinese and English have semantic prosody, the collocations and semantic prosody of near synonyms may differ from each other even though they have similar denotative meanings, so we cannot interchange near synonyms randomly, especially when we do translation in two languages. Since semantic prosody plays an important role in translation, several linguists have already conduct research to emphasize that importance. For example, Partington concludes that "the pitfalls for translators unaware of such prosodic differences are evident" (Partington 1998:78). He has researched the comparison between English and Italian to explain such a pitfall. The English word impressive has a positive semantic prosody, while the Italian word impressionante, which is the close equivalent of impressive, is used "as often as not to collocate with neutral and unfavourable items" (Partington 1998:77). In addition, Tognini-Bonelli (2002) has done the similar research to emphasize the importance of semantic prosody in translation. She compares the phrases in case in English and se per caso in Italian, and concludes that "at the level of semantic prosody it (se per caso) could generate a trap for the unaware translator because the correspondence is similar but not as systematic" (Tognini-Bonelli 2002:90). Also, Ebeling (2013) compares English and Norwegian by using the English-Norwegian Parallel Corpus (ENPC). She explores the semantic prosody of cause in the two languages and finds that "the favoured Norwegian translation of the verb cause: få (x til å) shows a tendency towards a neutral prosody, rather than a negative prosody corresponding to that of cause" (Ebeling 2014). Therefore, it is clearly meaningful to do research on semantic prosody from a cross-linguistic perspective and apply semantic prosody to translation.

The importance of semantic prosody in translation can be shown by analyzing the translation mistakes on the website tmxmall.com, which includes many English-Chinese translations done by users of the website, as I mentioned in the introduction. These translation mistakes are all related to the words I analyzed in this paper. For example, in sentence (19) they translated *falshengl*, which has a strong negative prosody in Chinese, into *take place*, which has a relatively neutral semantic prosody in English. In addition, *war* is a negative event, but the phrase





take place has relatively neutral semantic prosody, so it cannot collocate with war. Therefore, this translation is not accurate and may confuse second language learners. It is better to translate falshengl into happen or occur, which have the similar negative semantic prosody as falshengl.

(19) Original text: Bo1wan1 <u>zhan4zheng1</u> shi4 he2shi2 <u>fa1sheng1</u> de1?

Translated text: When did the Gulf War take place?

Also, a similar translation mistake can be seen in example (20).

(20) Original text: What's the date of the gain took place.

Translated text: zeng1zhang3 falsheng1 de1 ri4qi1 shi4
she2me1shi2hou4.

In this eaxmple, the person translated *take place*, which mainly has neutral semantic prosody, into  $falshengl(\cancel{\cancel{b}}\cancel{\cancel{a}})$ , which has negative semantic prosody. In addition,  $zenglzhang3(the\ gain)$  is positive, so it cannot collocate with negative word. Here it is better to translate  $take\ place$  into  $chan3shengl(\cancel{\cancel{p}}\cancel{\cancel{a}}\cancel{\cancel{a}})$  which has relatively neutral semantic prosody as the phrase  $take\ place$ .

Other examples related to *experience*-words and its close translation equivalent *jing1li4(经历)*, including its synonyms can be seen from (21) to (23).

(21) Original text: Tong1chang2 pai2qi4fa2 yao4 <u>jing1shou4</u> gao2su4 he2 chong1ji1, yao4 an4 jie2liu2fa2 dui4dai4...

Translated text: Vent valves normally <u>experience</u> high velocity and <u>impingement</u> and are usually treated as throttling valves.

(22) Original text: Wo3 ceng2 you3guo4 jian1nan2 de1 sheng1huo2, wo3 de1 jian1xin1 yu3 wo3 fu4qin1 nv3li4





rang4 wo3 chong2tou2 zai4lai2 suo3 *jing1li4* de1 <u>jian1xin1</u> bi3qi3lai3 suan4bu4liao3.

Translated text: I've had a hard life, but my hardships are nothing against the hardships that my father went through in order to get me to where I started.

(23) Original text: <u>Jing1li4</u> guo4 chong2chong2 <u>da3ji1</u> hou4, wo3 che4di3 duan4le dui4 nv3ren2 de1 nian4xiang3

Translated text: After going through heavy blow, I have completely cut off the thought of woman

In (21), *Jing1shou4* has the meaning of "endurance" in Chinese, but *experience* does not have that meaning, as it only describes a fact. Here *vent valves* should endure and bear the *high velocity and impingement*, and then it will be useful. Therefore, it is better to translate *jing1shou4* as *undergo* because both words have the meaning of "endurance" leading to positive results.

In (22), *Jing1li4* has a strong negative semantic prosody in Chinese, but *go through* is neutral. In addition, *hardship* is a negative thing, but the phrase *went through* has a neutral prosody, so it cannot collocate with *hardship*. Here we can translate *jing1li4* into *experienced* because both words have a strong negative semantic prosody.

In (23), *ing1li4* is an unfavourable word, while *go through* is neutral. Also, *heavy blow* is negative, but *go through* often collocates with neutral words. Here it is better to translate *jing1li4* into *experienced* which has the same negative semantic prosody as *jing1li4*.

There are many other similar translation mistakes on that website. Based on the above examples, we can see that semantic prosody is not only important in one language, but also in two languages, especially when we do translation. Therefore, we should pay close attention to choose accurate close equivalents that have similar semantic prosodies as the target words in the original texts.





## **VI. Conclusion**

This study includes research on the semantic prosody and collocations of near synonyms by comparing English and Chinese. It mainly discusses two group words, namely the HAPPEN group and the EXPERIENCE group. Based on the analysis of the happen-words, the Chinese equivalents of happen and occur can be falzuo(发作), bao4fal(爆发), and falshengl(发生) because they all have a strong negative semantic prosody, and that of take place can be chan3sheng1() 生) since they both carry a relatively neutral or positive semantic prosody. As for the EXPERIENCE group, Chinese word jing lli4 (经历) has a strong negative semantic prosody like the English word experience. Jinglshou4(经受) is like the English word undergo in that they both have the meaning of 'endurance' and show positive results. And ti3yan4 (体验) in Chinese is also similar to the English word experience because they both have the meaning of obtaining something useful after an experience when they act as nouns. Also, the semantic prosody of ti3yan4 depends on its context. In addition, the Chinese equivalent of go though is jing I guo4(经过) because they both have neutral semantic prosody and can collocate with time and place words. After discussing the semantic prosody of near synonyms in both languages, this paper also mentions the use of semantic prosody in translation by analyzing some translation mistakes on a website. It emphasizes the importance of applying semantic prosody to translation. Therefore, when we do translation, we should carefully choose words that have similar semantic prosody to the original texts.

## References

Ebeling, S.O (2014). cross-linguistic semantic prosody: the case of 'commit', 'signs of' and 'utterly' and their norwegian correspondences. In Ebeling, Grønn, Hauge & Santos (eds.), *Corpus-based Studies in Contrastive Linguistics, Oslo Studies in Language*, 6(1), 2014. 161–179.

Firth, J. (1957). Papers in Linguistics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.





- Firth, J. (1968). A synopsis of linguistic theory 1930–1955. In F. R. Palmer (ed.), *Selected Papers of J. R. Firth* 1952–59. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1–32.
- Hu, M. (2015). A semantic prosody analysis of three adjective synonymous pairs in COCA. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 11(2), 117-131.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1976). System and Function in Language. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hashemnia, M., Hosseini-Maasoum, S. M., and Yousefi, M. (2013). Semantic Prosody of Near Synonyms From A Cross-linguistic Perspective. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics*, 4(3), 323-342.
- Hunston, S. (2004). Counting the uncountable: Problems of identifying evaluation in a text and in a corpus. In A. Partington, J.Morley, & L. Haarman (Eds.), *Corpora and Discourse*. Bern: Peter Lang.
- Hunston, S., & Thompson, G. (2000). Evaluation in Text: Authorial Stance and the Construction of Discourse. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Louw, B. (1993). Irony in the text or insincerity in the writer? The diagnostic potential of semantic prosodies In M. Baker, G. Francis, and E. Tognini-Bonelli (eds): *Text and Technology: In Honour of John Sinclair*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 157–176.
- Louw, B. (2000). Contextual prosodic theory: Bringing semantic prosodies to life. In C. Heffer, H. Sauntson, and G. Fox (eds): *Words in Context: A Tribute to John Sinclair on his Retirement*. Birmingham: University of Birmingham.
- Partington, A. (1998). Patterns and Meanings. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Partington, A. (2004). Utterly content in each other's company: Semantic prosody and semantic preference. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 9(1), 131 –156.
- Sardinha, T. (2000). Semantic prosodies in English and Portuguese: A contrastive study. *Cuadernos de Filología Inglesa*, *9*(1), 93–110.
- Schmitt, N. and R. Carter. (2004). Formulaic sequences in action: An introduction. In N. Schmitt (ed.): Formulaic Sequences. Amsterda. John Benjamins, 1



-22.

- Sinclair, J. (1987). Looking Up. London: Collins.
- Sinclair, J. (1996). The empty lexicon. *International journal of corpus linguistics*, I(1), 99-119.
- Stubbs, M. (1995). Collocations and semantic profiles: On the cause of the trouble with quantitative methods. *Function of Language*, 2(1), 23–55.
- Stubbs, M. (2001a). On inference theories and code theories: Corpus evidence for schemas. *Text*, 21(3), 437-65.
- Stubbs, M. (2002). Two quantitative methods of studying phraseology in English. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 7(2), 215–244.
- Tognini-Bonelli, E. (2001). *Corpus Linguistics at Work*. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Tognini-Bonelli, E. (2002). Functionally complete units of meaning across English and Italian: Towards a corpus-driven approach. In B. Altenberg & S. Granger (eds), *Lexis in Contrast: Corpus-Based Approaches*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 73-95.
- Tsui, A. (2004). What teachers have always wanted to know and how corpora can help. In J. Sinclair (Ed.), *How to use corpora in language teaching*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 39-61.
- Xiao, R. Z. and McEnery, A. M. (2006). Collocation, semantic prosody and near synonymy: A cross-linguistic perspective. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(1), 103-129.

