



2015년 2월 석사학위 논문

Synthesis, Characterization, and Biological Evaluation of o-Carboranyl Heterocyclic Compounds

조 선 대 학 교 대 학 원

화 학 과

정 유 진



오쏘-카보닐 헤테로고리 화합물의 합성, 특성 및 생물학적 평가

Synthesis, Characterization, and Biological Evaluation of o-Carboranyl Heterocyclic Compounds

2015년 2월 25일

조 선 대 학 교 대 학 원 화 학 과 정 유 진





오쏘-카보닐 헤테로고리 화합물의 합성, 특성 및 생물학적 평가

지도교수 이 종 대

이 논문을 이학석사학위신청 논문으로 제출함.

2014년 10월

조 선 대 학 교 대 학 원

화 학 과

정 유 진





정유진의 석사학위논문을 인준함

위원	반장	조선대학교	교수	류 (설 (인)
위	원	조선대학교	교수	이 종 (대 (인)

위 원 조선대학교 교수 김 호 중 (인)

2014년 11월

조 선 대 학 교 대 학 원





TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
LIST OF TABLE	iii
초록	iv

Synthesis, Characterization, and Biological Evaluation of o-Carboranyl Heterocyclic Compounds

1.	ABSTRACT	1
2.	Introduction	2
3.	Experimental Section	6
3.1.	General consideration	6
3.2.	Determination of IC_{50}	6
3.3.	In vitro Boron Incorporation into B-16 Melanoma Cells	7
3.4.	Crystal Structure Determination	7
3.5.	Preparation of compounds 2 and 4 General Procedure	8
3.6.	Preparation of compounds 3 and 5 General Procedure	10
4.	REFERENCES	12



LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Molecular structure of **3b** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level and H atoms are omitted for clarity.
- Figure 2 Molecular structure of **3c** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level and H atoms are omitted for clarity.





LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1Yields and Key Spectral Data for 2 and 4
- Table 2Yields and Key Spectral Data for 3 and 5
- Table 3
 Cytotoxicity (IC₅₀) and Boron Uptake for B-16 Melanoma and HeLa Cancer Cells





초 록

오쏘-카보닐 헤테로고리 화합물의 합성, 특성 및 생물학적 평가

정유진 지도교수 : 이종대 교수님 화학과 조선대학교

Mono-, bis-triazinyl 에 치환된 카보라닐계 화합물의 합성을 위한 방 법으로 1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₂ 를 기본골격으로 사용하였고 bis[di(methoxyethyl)amin o] 와 bis(dimorpholino)triazine 을 치환기로 사용하였다. 6-Chloro-2,4bis(morpholino)- (1a) 또는 bis[di(methoxyethyl)amino]-1,3,5-triazine (1b) 와 함께 propargyl alcohol 과 decaborane 을 반응시켜 mono- 또는 b is-substituted triazinyl-o-carboranes (3-5) 이다. Morpholino 와 metho xyethyl 작용기는 triazine 의 amine 단위에서 NMR spectroscopy 의 ¹H 와 ¹³C 에 의해 확인할 수 있다. 그리고 triazinyl-o-carboranes 의 구조는 3 b, 3c 의 X-ray 결정구조를 통해 확인할 수 있다. 합성된 화합물들 중에서 한 가지는 물에 대한 용해도가 증가한 것을 볼 수 있다. Bis-triazinyl 단 위체의 효과적인 위장으로부터 발생한 것으로 주변부의 극성 작용기에 의 한 것이다. 또한 5a 는 HeLa cell 에서 높은 보론 흡수도를 보이고 B-16 m elanoma cell 에서 낮은 독성을 가진다. 이것은 BNCT용 선구물질로서의 가 능성이 크다는 것을 보여준다.





Abstract

Synthesis, Characterization, and Biological Evaluation of o-Carboranyl Heterocyclic Compounds

Jeong Yu Jin Advisor : Prof. Lee Jong Dae, Ph.D, Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Chosun University

A method for preparing mono- and bis-triazinyl-substituted carboranyl systems using $1,2-C_2B_{10}H_{12}$ as cores and bis[di(methoxyethyl)amino] and bis(dimorpholino)triazine as substituents is described. Reaction of 6-chloro-2,4-bis(morpholino)-(1a)or bis[di(methoxyethyl)amino]-1,3,5-triazine (1b) with propargylalcohol and decaborane produced the corresponding mono- or bis-substituted triazinyl-o-carboranes (3-5). Morpholino and methoxyethyl functional groups on the amine units of triazine were identified by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Furthermore, the structures of the triazinyl-o-carboranes were established by X-ray diffraction studies of 3b, and 3c. Within the series of synthesized compounds, one showed increased water solubility arising from the effective camouflaging of the bis-triazinyl unit by the polar functional groups at the periphery. Furthermore, 5a exhibited high boron uptake in HeLa and B-16 melanoma cells with low toxicity, showing promise as a BNCT agent.





Introduction

The class of *s*-triazine derivatives contains compounds possessing various types of biological activity. It has been suggested that one of these compounds, hexamethylmelamine, be used for the treatment of lung carcinoma.¹ Another 1,3,5-triazine derivative (5-azacytidine) is used for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia.^{2,3} The antitumor activity of some 1,3,5-triazine derivatives is believed to be related to the fact that these compounds represent antimetabolites of pyrimidine bases and are capable of accumulating in tumor cells.⁴

Currently, the delivery of boron-containing molecular fragments to tumor tissues and the accumulation of these agents within the framework of boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) is the subject of a great deal of attention.⁵ BNCT was first proposed as a potential cancer therapy in 1936,⁶ but successful application of BNCT to the treatment of cancer still presents a challenge in medical research.⁷ Additionally, most compounds that have developed for BNCT to date are not suitable due to their low water solubility, stability, and selectivity toward cancer cells.⁸

As part of our continuing search for new agents suitable for use in BNCT,⁹ we have utilized an *o*-carborane framework not only as a boron carrier,¹⁰ but also as a scaffold to construct bi-functional biologically active species.¹¹ However, since carboranes consist only of CH and BH units in the cage, they have lipophilic character.¹² Due to the lipophilic character of the carboranyl unit, introduction of a second functional group into the *o*-carboranyl triazine that endows the molecule with water solubility is highly desirable. To meet the requirements for BNCT agents, many attempts have been made in our laboratory to increase the water solubility of candidate molecules while maintaining high boron uptake and low toxicity.^{9c,d} Among many candidates, the 1,3,5-triazine derivatives of the *o*-carboranyl system^{9b,13} seem promising in that they show high boron uptake in cancer cells. Moreover, the





water-solubility of these molecules was found to be enhanced by introducing a second functional group such as an functionalized amine moiety.^{9b} We previously reported that tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQ),^{9a} 1,3,5-triazines,^{9b,14} ethylamines,¹⁵ and piperidines^{9c} containing the *o*-carborane moiety were potential BNCT agents. Here, we report the synthesis and characterization of 1,3,5-triazinyl morpholine and di(methoxyethyl)amine derivatives and their related *o*-carborane moieties with good yields as potential BNCT agents as an extension of our ongoing investigations into the biological behavior of bio-molecules based on *o*-carboranes.

New *o*-carborane-based 1,3,5-triazine derivatives (75-78% for 2 and 36-38% for 4) were synthesized as outlined in Scheme 1. As shown in Scheme 1, the starting materials 1 and alkynyloxy-1,3,5-triazine 2 and 4 can be easily prepared as previously described.^{14,16}



Scheme 1. Reagent and condition: (i) alkynyl alcohol, t-BuOK, DMF, 70–75 °C, 5 h; (ii) decaborane ($B_{10}H_{14}$), N,N-dimethylaniline, toluene, reflux, 24 h; (iii) alkynyl alcohol, t-BuOK, DMF, 70–75 °C 24 h; (iv) decaborane, N,N-dimethylaniline, toluene, reflux, 36 h.





As shown in Table 1, compounds 2 and 4 exhibit characteristic absorption bands in the infrared spectra at 3025-3090 cm⁻¹ reflecting the C-H bond of the alkynyl group. Key spectral data (infrared, ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy) are summarized in Table 1. The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 2 and 4 contain a broadened singlet due to CH groups of the propargyl fragment at 1.97-2.42 ppm, singlets reflecting OCH_2 groups at 4.35–4.89 (2) and 4.86 and 4.91 ppm (4), and multiplets due to protons of the morpholine substituent in the region of 1.94-2.43 (2) and 4.86 and 2.43 ppm (4). Treatment of 2 or 4 with decaborane $(B_{10}H_{14})$ and dimethylaniline in toluene produced the target compounds, 3 and 5, respectively, in moderate yields (35-50%). Compounds **3** and **5** show characteristic absorption bands in the infrared spectra at 2586-2596 cm⁻¹ for the B-H group (see Table 2). As shown in Table 2, in the ¹H NMR spectra of compound 3, the proton chemical shift for the OCH₂ group ($\delta = 4.21-4.86$ ppm) almost coincides with the value observed for the initial compound (2). Additionally, the signal produced by protons of the CH group of this carborane was observed in a weaker field ($\delta = 3.58-4.26$ ppm) than the value for the corresponding fragment in the initial compound ($\delta = 3.55$ ppm). In addition to the signals of protons of the morpholine substituent $[\delta = 3.65-3.77 \ (3), 3.68$ and 3.74 ppm (5)], the spectra of compounds 3 and 5 contained a broad signal caused by B-H peaks of the o-carborane moieties from 0.5 to 3.4 ppm.

The final structural proofs of 3a-c were obtained by an X-ray analysis, which were performed on the crystals of 3b and 3c (Figs. 1 and 2). Selected bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles are collected in Table 1. The crystal structures correspond well with the conformation and configuration derived from the NMR data. All three regions, that is, amine substituents, triazine ring, and tethered o-carborane group of **3a-c**, can be clearly assigned.

Collection @ chosun



The biological activities, including the cytotoxicity and intracellular accumulation of the *o*-carboranyl aminoalcohol derivatives, were next investigated. As shown in Table 3, compounds **3a-f** and **5a** and **b** exhibited low cytotoxicity, with IC₅₀ values (the half maximal inhibitory concentration) in the range of 9.02 to 44.57 μ M for B-16 and 10.76 to 29.08 μ M for HeLa cancer cells. Furthermore, the accumulated boron concentration of all compounds (**3a-f** and **5a** and **b**) were higher than that of *p*-boronophenylalanine (BPA). Among the *o*-carboranyl triazine derivatives tested, compound **5a** appeared to be a good candidate agent based on the three essential requirements for BNCT, good water solubility, low cytotoxicity, and high boron uptake.

In conclusion, the sequential replacement of three chlorine atoms on cyanuric chloride with cyclic or secondary amine nucleophiles provides the synthesis of a variety of alkynyl-oxo-substituted 1,3,5-*s*-triazine molecules. Thus, we have developed a general and versatile method for the preparation of triazines flanked with an *o*-carborane. In light of its operational simplicity and efficiency, this reliable method is expected to have a broad utility due to the scope of applications of the *s*-triazines. In particular, compounds **3a**, **3d** and **5a** showed lower toxicity over a wide range of boron concentrations up to 350 mg boron mL⁻¹.







Experimental Section

General consideration All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk tech niques. Starting materials, **1a**, **2a**, and **3a**, were prepared as previously described.^{9b,14} NMR spectra were collected using a JEOL (500 MHz) FT-NMR spectrometer and ref erenced based on the residual protons of the solvent (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm). Infrared (I R) spectra were obtained on a JASCO FT/IR-5300 spectrophotometer. Low-resolution mass spectra were acquired with a Quattro AC spectrometer.

Determination of IC₅₀

The boron compound (20 mg) was dissolved in DMSO (1.0 mL), and the resulting s olution was diluted with MEM (Modified Eagle Medium) (10% FCS), or BPA was d irectly dissolved in the same medium. In a Falcon 3072, 96-well culture plate, the ce lls (B-16 melanoma cancer cells from Tohoku University, Japan) (1 ×10³ cells/well) were cultured in five wells with the medium containing boron compounds at various concentrations (1–100 ppm), and incubated for 3 d at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator. D MSO is non-toxic at concentrations less than 0.5% and control experiments confirmed that DMSO was non-toxic at the concentrations used in the present experiments. Afte r the 3 day incubation, the medium was removed, the cells were washed (3 times) w ith PBS (–) (phosphate-buffered saline), and the CellTiter 96 AQueous Non-Radioacti ve Cell Proliferation Assay [MTS, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphe nyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2*H*-tetrazolium] was used for counting cells on a Microplate rea der. The results are presented in Table 2 as the concentration of agent that resulted i n a cell culture with 50% of the cell number of the corresponding untreated culture (IC₅₀).





In vitro Boron Incorporation into B-16 Melanoma Cells

B-16 melanoma cells were cultured in Falcon 3025 dishes (150 mm ϕ). When the cell population had increased to fill the dish (8.8 × 10⁶ cells/dish), the boron compounds (1.0 ×10⁻⁴ M, 10.8 ppm boron) and BPA (1.0 ×10⁻³ M, 10.8 ppm boron) were added to the dishes. The cells were incubated for 3h at 37°C in medium (MEM, 10% FBS; 20 mL). The cells were washed (3 times) with Ca/Mg-free phosphate buffered saline [PBS (–)], collected by rubber policeman, digested with a mixture of 60% HClO₄–30% H₂O₂ (1:2) solution (2 mL), and then decomposed for 1h at 75 °C. After filtration through a membrane filter (Millipore, 0.22 mm), the boron concentration was determined using ICP-AES (Shimadzu, ICPS–1000–III). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. The average boron concentration of each fraction is indicated in Table 2.

Crystal Structure Determination

Crystals of **3b** and **3c** were obtained from toluene at -15 °C, sealed in glass capillaries under argon, and mounted on the diffractometer. Preliminary examination and data collection were performed using a Brucker SMART CCD detector system single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a sealed-tube X-ray source (40 kV \times 50 mA) using graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å). Preliminary unit cell constants were determined with a set of 45 narrow-frame (0.3° in ω) scans. The double-pass method of scanning was used to exclude any noise. The collected frames were integrated using an orientation matrix determined from the narrow-frame scans. The SMART software package was used for data collection, and SAINT was used for frame integration.^{17a} Final cell constants were determined by a global refinement of xyz centroids of reflections harvested from the entire data set.





Structure solution and refinement were carried out using the SHELXTL-PLUS software package.^{17b}

Preparation of compounds 2 and 4

General Procedure: Α DMF (50 mL) solution of 2,4-dimorpholinoor bis[di(methoxyethylamino)]-1,3,5-triazine 1 (10 mmol) and alkynyl alcohol (15 mmol for 2) or 2-butyn-1,4-diol (8 mmol for 4) was added to potassium tert-butoxide (1.2 mmol for 2 or 8.0 mmol for 4) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 h, followed by stirring at 70 °C for an additional 6 h. Next, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and guenched with distilled H₂O (50 mL \times 3). The reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate (30 mL \times 2). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (30 mL \times 3), dried with anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate: n-hexane = 1:1) to give 2 and 4 in vields of 75-78% and 36-38%, respectively.

2a: Yield: 2.37 g (78%); LRMS: 305 (30%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3090; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 2.42 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.78 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.89 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 43.9, 54.1, 66.8, 74.5, 78.6, 166.03, 170.0.

2b: Yield: 2.45 g (77%); LRMS: 319 (36%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3076; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 2.00 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (td, J = 15.0and 6 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.78 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.38 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 19.2, 43.9, 64.3, 66.8, 70.0, 79.2, 166.1, 170.5.





2c: Yield: 2.54 g (75%); LRMS: 333 (28%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3085; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 1.94 (m, 2H), 1.94 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (q, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (td, J = 15.0 Hz and 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.78 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.35 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 15.4, 28.0, 43.8, 65.2, 66.9, 68.8, 83.7, 166.1, 170.9.

2d: Yield: 3.05 g (77%); LRMS: 397 (26%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3083; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 2.43 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 12H), 3.55 (t, J = 11.0 Hz, 8H), 3.74 (t, J = 11.0 Hz, 8H), 4.85 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 47.6, 47.9, 53.8, 58.9, 70.6, 71.2, 74.2, 79.1, 166.0, 169.5.

2e: Yield: 3.12 g (76%); LRMS: 411 (31%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3025; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 1.98 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (td, J = 15.0 Hz and 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.32 (s, 12H), 3.55 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.76 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.36 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 19.2, 47.7, 47.8, 58.8, 64.1, 69.9, 70.7, 71.3, 80.4, 166.1, 170.0.

2f: Yield: 3.18 g (75%); LRMS: 425 (28%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C-H) 3080; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 1.96 (q, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H), 2.16 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (td, J = 15.0 Hz and 5 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (s, 12H), 3.55 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.76 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.35 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 15.4, 28.1, 65.0, 68.7, 70.8, 71.3, 75.2, 83.8, 166.1, 170.5.

4a: Yield: 2.22 g (38%); LRMS: 584 (37%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C= C) 2132; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) & 3.70 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 16H), 3.78 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 16H), 4.91 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) & 43.9, 66.9, 77.6, 80.5, 166.0, 170.2.





4b: Yield: 2.26g (36%); LRMS: 768 (27%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(C=C) 2110; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 3.30 (s, 24H), 3.52 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 16H), 3.71 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 16H), 4.86 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 54.1, 58.9, 58.9, 71.3, 81.4, 166.0, 170.0.

Preparation of compounds 3 and 5

General Procedure: Decaborane (0.88 g, 11 mmol) and *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (1.75 g, 14 mmol) were added to a dried toluene (20 mL) solution containing alkynyloxy-1,3,5-triazines 2 or 4 (2.0 g, 10 mmol). The resulting solution was then heated at reflux for 24 h and filtered. Next, the filtrate was diluted with water (1:1), after which the precipitate was separated by filtration and recrystallized to give 3 or 5.

3a: Yield: 2.11 g (50%). LRMS: 424[†] (100%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B -) 2588; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 3.65 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.70 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.97 (br s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 2H) ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 43.9, 58.1, 66.2, 66.7, 72.3, 165.8, 170.5.

3b: Yield: 2.14 g, (49%). LRMS: 438[†](100%), $[M]^{+\cdot}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B -) 2596; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 2.67 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.77 (t, J = 10.0Hz, 8H), 3.84 (br s, 1H), 4.34 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 36.6, 43.9, 60.7, 66.8, 67.0, 72.4, 165.9, 170.1.

3c: Yield: 2.20 g, (49%). LRMS: 451[†] (100%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B -H) 2591; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 1.93 (q, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (t, J = 12.0Hz, 2H), 3.58 (br s, 1H), 3.70 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 8H), 3.76 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 8H), 4.24 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 28.7, 305.2, 44.9, 61.6,

- 10 -





65.2, 66.8, 74.7, 166.0, 170.1.

3d: Yield: 2.42g (47%). LRMS: 515[†] (100%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B– H) 2592; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 3.33 (s, 12H), 3.55 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 8H), 4.26 (br s, 1H), 3.75 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 8H), 4.82(s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 47.9, 58.6, 66.1, 70.6, 71.0, 73.2, 166.0, 169.5.

3e: Yield: 2.48 g (47%). LRMS: 530[†] (100%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B -H) 2588; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 2.70 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.32 (s, 12H), 3.55 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.76 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.79 (br s, 1H), 4.35 (t, J =12.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 36.4, 47.8, 60.8, 63.8, 70.7, 71.1, 72.5, 166.0, 169.6.

3f: Yield: 2.60g (48%). LRMS: 544[†] (100%), $[M]^{+}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B– H) 2594; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 1.92 (q, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (s, 12H), 3.53 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 3.73 (br s, 1H), 3.75 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 8H), 4.21 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 28.8, 35.2, 58.9, 61.6 , 64.9, 70.7, 71.2, 74.9, 166.0, 170.2.

5a: Yield: 2.44 g (35%). LRMS: 702[†] (100%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B – H) 2586; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) & 3.68 (t, J = 15.0 Hz, 16H), 3.74 (t, J = 15 Hz, 8H) 5.00 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) & 43.9, 64.7, 66.8, 72.6, 165.9, 169.7.

5b: Yield: 3.62 g (41%). LRMS: 888[†] (100%), $[M]^{++}$; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹) v(B -H) 2593; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 3.31 (s, 24H), 3.55 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 16H), 3.75 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 16H), 5.05 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ 47.2, 59.0, 64.2, 70.6, 71.2, 75.6, 166.0, 169.3.





[†]These masses correspond to the maximum intensity peak of a fragment showing the expected isotope distribution pattern for 10 boron atoms with natural abundance of boron-10 and boron-11.

REFERENCES

Collection @ chosun

- [1] Takita, H.; Didolkar, M. S. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1974, 58, 371.
- [2] Vesely, J.; Sorm, F. Neoplasma (Bratisl). 1965, 12, 3.
- [3] Tan, C.; Burchenal, J.; Clarkson, B. Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 1973, 14, 97.
- [4] Borkovec, A. A.; Demilo, A. B. J. Med. Chem. 1967, 10, 457.
- [5] (a) Hawthorne, M. F.; Contemporary Boron Chemistry, Davidson M, Hughes AK,
- (eds). The Royal Society of Chemistry: UK, 2000, 197. (b) Soloway, A. H;Zhuo, J.
- -C.; Rong, F. -H.; Lunanto, A. L.; Ives, D. H.; Barth R. F.; Anisuzzaman A. K.
- M.; Barth, C. D.; Barnum B. A. J. Organometal. Chem. 1999, 581, 150.
- [6] Locher G. L. Am. J. Roentgenol. Radium Ther. 1936, 36, 1.
- [7] Soloway, A. H.; Tjarks. W.; Barnum, B. A.; Rong, F. G.; Barth, R. F.; Codogni,
 I. M.; Wilson, J. G. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1515.
- [8] (a) Hawthorne, M. F.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 950. (b) Morin,
- C.; Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 12521. (c) Yamamoto, Y. Pure. Appl. Chem. 1991, 63,
- 423. (d) Barth, R. F.; Soloway, A. H.; Fairchild, R. G. Cancer Res. 1990, 50, 1061.
- (d) Yanagie, H. Maruyama, K. Takizawa, T. Ishida, O. Ogura, K. Matsumoto, T. Sakurai, Y. Kobayashi, T Shinohara, A. Rant, J. *Biomedecine & Pharmacotherapy* 2006, 60, 43.



[9] (a) Lee, J.-D.; Lee, C.-H.; Nakamura, H.; Ko, J.; Kang, S. O. *Tetrahedron Lett.*2002, 43, 5483. (b) Lee, C.-H.; Lim, H.-G.; Lee, J.-D.; Lee, Y.-J.; Ko, J.; Nakamura, H.; Kang, S. O. *Appl. Organometal. Chem.* 2003, 17, 539. (c) Lee, C.-H.; Yang, I. D.; Lee, J.-D.; Nakamura, H.; Ko, J.; Kang, S. O. *Synlett* 2004, 1799. (d) Lee, C.-H.; Oh, J. M.; Lee, J.-D.; Nakamura, H.; Ko, J.; Kang, S. O. *Synlett* 2006, 275.

[10] (a) Ma, L.; Hamdi, J.; Wong, F.; Hawthorne, M. F. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 278. (b) Byun, Y.; Thirumamagal, B. T. S.; Yang, W.; Eriksson, S.; Barth, R. F.; Tjarks, W. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 5513.

- [11] Valliant, J. F.; Guenther, K. J.; King, A. S.; Morel, P.; Schaffer, P.; Sogbein,O. O.; Stephenson, K. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 232, 173.
- [12] (a) Fujii, S.; Goto, T.; Ohta, K.; Hashimoto, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Ohta, S.; Endo, Y. *J. Med. Chem.* 2005, 48, 4654. (b) Reynolds, R. C.; Campbell, S. R.; Fairchild, R.
 G.; Kisliuk, R. L.; Micca, P. L.; Queener, S. F.; Riordan, J. M.; Sedwick, W. D.;
 Waud, W. R.; Leung, A. K. W.; Dixon, R. W.; Suling, W. J.; Borhani, D. W. J. *Med. Chem.* 2007, 50, 3283.
- [13] (a) Azev, Y.; Slepukhina, I.; Gabel, D. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2004, 61, 1107. (b)
 Ronchi, S.; Prosperi, D.; Compostella, F.; Panza, L. Synlett 2004, 1007.
- [14] Azev, Y. A.; Dülcks, T.; Gabel, D. Tetrahedron Letters 2003, 44, 8689.
- [15] Lee, J.-D.; Lee, Y. J.; Jeong, H. Y.; Lee, J. S.; Lee, C.-H.; Ko, J.; Kang, S.
 O. Organometallics 2003, 22, 445.
- [16] (a) Azev, Y.; Slepukhina, I.; Gabel, D. Appl. Rad. Isotopes 2004, 61, 1107. (b)
 Azev, Y. A.; Gabel, D.; Dulks, T.; Shorshnev, S. V.; Klyuev, N. A. Pharm. Chem.
 J. 2004, 38, 197. (c) Saripinar, E.; Geçen, N.; Şahin, K.; Yanmaz, E. Eur. J. Med.





Chem. 2010, 45, 4157. (d) Zheng, M.; Xu, C.; Ma, J.; Sun, Y.; Du, F.; Liu, H.; Lin, L.; Li, C.; Ding, J.; Chen, K.; Jiang, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 1815.

[17] (a) SMART and SAINT Bruker Analytical X-ray Division: Madison, WI, 2002.

(b) Sheldrick, G. M. *SHELXTL-PLUS Software Package* Bruker Analytical X-ray Division: Madison, WI, 2002.





		Yield	IR(cm ⁻¹)			I-H _T	NMR				IIIC-NIM	K	
Entry	No.	(%)	C≡CH	C≡CH	-OCH3	-NCH1-	-CH ₂ -C≡C	-OCH ₂ -	-C=CH	-OCH3	-NCH ₂ -	-OCH2-	-CH ₂ -C≡C
1	2a	78	3090	2.42(t)		3.70(t)	4.89(d)	4.89(d), 3.78(t)	78.6, 74.5		54.1	66.8, 54.1	66.8
5	2b	LL	3076	2.00(t)		3.70(t)	2.67(td)	4.38(t), 3.78(t)	79.2, 70.0		43.9	66.8, 64.3	19.2
3	20	75	3085	1.97(t)		3.70(t)	1.97(td)	4.35(t), 3.78(t)	83.7, 68.8		43.8	66.9, 65.2	28.1
4	2d	LL	3083	2.43(t)	3.31(s)	3.55(t)	4.85(d)	4.85(d), 3.75(t)	79.1, 742	53.8	58.9	71.2, 70.6	71.2
Ś	2e	76	3025	1.98(t)	3.32(s)	3.55(t)	2.75(td)	4.36(t), 3.76(t)	80.4, 71.3	58.8	64.1	69.9, 64.1	19.2
6	H	75	3080	21.6(t)	3.33(s)	3.55	1.96(td)	4.35(t), 3.76(t)	83.8, 75.2	58.9	65.0	71.3, 70.8	28.0
7	4a	38	2132			3.70(t)	4.91(s)	4.91(d), 3.77(t)	80.5		43.9	77.6, 66.9	77.6
00	4b	36	2110		3.30(s)	3.53(t)	4.86(s)	4.86(d, 3.72(t)	81.4	58.9	54.1	71.3, 71.0	71.0





						IMNI-HI	~				IBC-NMI	~	
Entry	No.	Yield (%)	R (cm ⁻¹) B-H	C-H (Carb)	0CH3	NCH-	CH2-Carb	0CH5-	C/CH (Carb)	0 CH3	NCH ₂ -	0 CH1-	CH1-Cab
-	3a	50	2588	3.97(br s)		3.65(t)	4.86(s)	4.86(s), 3.70(t)	72.3, 66.2		43.9	66.7, 66.2	66.7
3	31	49	2596	3.84(br s)		3.77(t)	2.67(t)	4.34(t), 3.77(t)	72.4, 64.0		43.9	67.0, 66.8	36.6
ŝ	30	49	2591	3.58(br s)		3.70(t)	2.39(t)	4.24(t), 3.70(t)	74.7, 65.2		43.9	66.8, 61.6	35.2
4	3d	47	2592	4.26(br s)	3.33(s)	3.55(t)	4.82(s)	4.82(s), 3.75(t)	73.2, 66.1	58.6	47.9	71.0, 70.6	71.0
2	36	47	2588	3.79(br s)	3.32(s)	3.76(t)	2.70(t)	4.35(t), 3.76(t)	72.5, 63.8	60.8	47.8	71.1, 70.7	36.4
9	3f	48	2594	3.73 (bg. s)	3.35(s)	3.53(t)	2.36(t)	4.21(t), 3.75(t)	74.9, 61.6	58.9	47.7	71.2, 70.7	35.2
٢	2a	35	2586			3.68(t)	5.00(s)	5.00(s), 3.74(t)	66.8		43.9	66.8	72.6
00	ß	41	2593		3.31(s)	3.65(t)	5.05(s)	5.05(s), 2.75(4)	75.6	59.0	47.2	70.6	71.2







Entry	Comnd	IC ₅₀	(µM)	Boron Uptake	($\mu g B/10^6$ cells)
Entry	Compu	B-16	HeLa	B-16	HeLa
1	3 a	26.89 (± 7.75)	23.71 (± 4.09)	0.37 ±0.65	0.25 ± 0.34
2	3b	15.95 (± 2.68)	29.08 (± 4.45)	0.35 ± 0.63	0.26 ± 0.37
3	3c	9.02 (± 1.42)	16.83 (± 3.94)	0.19 ± 0.027	0.17 ± 0.014
4	3d	20.31 (± 1.66)	19.42 (± 2.17)	0.37 ± 0.065	0.22 ± 0.15
5	3e	13.28 (± 1.32)	16.17 (± 0.38)	0.35 ± 0.14	0.28 ± 0.19
6	3f	13.14 (± 6.30)	19.84 (± 1.92)	0.23 ± 0.047	0.25 ± 0.41
7	5a	44.57 (± 6.69)	10.76 (± 0.21)	0.51 ± 0.18	0.16 ± 0.22
8	5b	15.06 (± 1.78)	18.02 (± 0.77)	0.16 ± 0.11	0.18 ± 0.11
9	BPA	44.95 (± 0.30)	35.48 (± 1.45)	0.07 ± 0.028	0.02 ± 0.013

Table 3. Cytotoxicity (IC $_{50}$) and Boron Uptake for B-16 Melanoma and HeLa Cancer Cells







Figure 1. Molecular structure of **3b** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level and H atoms are omitted for clarity.







Figure 2. Molecular structure of 3c with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level and H atoms are omitted for clarity.





감사의글

랩 생활을 시작한지가 엊그제 같은데 벌써 2년이라는 시간이 흘렀습니다. 제게 2년이라는 시간은 정말 감사함으로 가득한 시간이었습니다.

먼저 아무것도 모르는 저를 열정과 애정으로 지도하여 이만큼 성장시켜주신 저의 지도교수님이신 이종대 교수님께 감사인사를 드립니다. 짧지 않은 시간 많은 기회와 가르침을 주심에 깊은 감사를 드리며 학교를 떠나서도 교수님의 가르침대로 성실하게 끊임없이 연구하는 연구원이 되겠습니다.

그리고 바쁘신 가운데에도 제 학위논문 심사를 맡아주시고 좋은 조언해주신 류 설 교수님과 김호중 교수님께도 감사의 말씀 드립니다. 또한 다방면으로 지도 편달 해주신 화학과 모든 교수님들께도 감사드립니다.

랩 생활을 하며 희노애락을 함께 한 식구들에게도 고맙다는 인사를 하고 싶 습니다. 그리고 심적으로 많은 의지가 되었던 MOC 선배들과 동기인 혜선이 와 지희에게 감사를 전하며, 앞으로도 좋은 우정을 이어나가고 싶습니다.

마지막으로, 항상 저를 믿어주시고 응원해주시는 정신적 지주인 사랑하는 제 가족에게 큰 감사인사를 올립니다. 은혜에 보답할 수 있는 자랑스러운 딸 이 되도록 노력하겠습니다.



